

Neighborhood Disparities in Access to Healthy Foods and Their Effects on Environmental Justice

Angela Hilmers, MD, MS, David C. Hilmers, MD, MPH, and Jayna Dave, PhD

Environmental justice is concerned with an equitable distribution of environmental burdens. These burdens comprise immediate health hazards as well as subtle inequities, such as limited access to healthy foods.

We reviewed the literature on neighborhood disparities in access to fast-food outlets and convenience stores. Low-income neighborhoods offered greater access to food sources that promote unhealthy eating. The distribution of fast-food outlets and convenience stores differed by the racial/ethnic characteristics of the neighborhood.

Further research is needed to address the limitations of current studies, identify effective policy actions to achieve environmental justice, and evaluate intervention strategies to promote lifelong healthy eating habits, optimum health, and vibrant communities. (*Am J Public Health.* 2012;102: 1644–1654. doi:10.2105/AJPH. 2012.300865)

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE HAS been defined as

fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, ethnicity, income, national origin, or educational level in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. $^{1\left(p1\right) }$

Fair treatment signifies that "no population, due to policy or economic disempowerment, is forced to bear a disproportionate exposure to and burden of harmful environmental conditions."1(p1) The concept of environmental justice, which has its roots in the fight against toxic landfills in economically distressed areas, can be similarly applied to the inequitable distribution of unhealthy food sources across socioeconomic and ethnic strata.¹ The neighborhood environment can help promote and sustain beneficial lifestyle patterns or can contribute to the development of unhealthy behaviors, resulting in chronic health problems among residents.²⁻⁴ The higher prevalence of obesity among low-income and minority populations has been related to their limited access to healthy $foods^{5-18}$ and to a higher density of fast-food outlets and convenience stores where they live.9,19-21 These environmental barriers to healthy living represent a significant challenge to ethnic minorities and underserved populations and violate the principle of fair treatment.

Several studies have investigated disparities in the distribution of neighborhood vegetation,^{22,23} the proximity of residences to playgrounds,²⁴ and the

accessibility of supermarkets and grocery stores,^{25,26} but fewer have examined access to fast-food outlets and convenience stores as a function of neighborhood racial and socioeconomic demographics. To our knowledge, our review is the first to expand the focus of environmental justice from environmental hazards and toxic exposures to issues of the food environment by examining research on socioeconomic, ethnic, and racial disparities in neighborhood access to fast-food outlets and convenience stores.

METHODS

We reviewed studies of differences in accessibility of fast-food outlets and convenience stores by the socioeconomic and racial/ ethnic characteristics of neighborhoods. With the assistance of an experienced health science librarian, we conducted searches in the MEDLINE, PubMed, PsycINFO, EBSCO Academic Search Premier, and Scopus databases. Key words were "neighborhood deprivation," "food environment," "food sources," "fastfood restaurants," "convenience stores," "bodegas," "disparity," "inequality," "minorities," "racial/ ethnic segregation," and "socioeconomic segregation." We included only original, peer-reviewed studies published in English

between 2000 and 2011. Comments, editorials, dissertations, conference proceedings, newsletters, and policy statements were excluded. We also excluded studies that focused on methods and measurements, did not examine socioeconomic or racial/ ethnic characteristics of the neighborhood, or used schools as a proxy for neighborhood environment.

Our search identified 501 unique citations; after detailed inspection, we selected 24. The primary reasons for exclusion were irrelevant outcomes or comparisons (n = 316), focus on dietary behavior (n = 96), and methodology studies (n = 65). We defined fast-food outlets as

> take-away or take-out providers, often with a 'drive-thru' service which allows customers to order and pick up food from their cars; but most also have a seating area in which customers can eat the food on the premises (http:// www.merriam-webster.com).

Examples of fast-food outlets were fast-food restaurant chains, take-away or carry-out establishments, and small local fast-food businesses. We defined convenience stores as

> retail stores that sell a combination of gasoline, fast foods, soft drinks, dairy products, beer, cigarettes, publications, grocery items, snacks, and nonfood items



Study Location Definition M Cross-sectional studies North and Census block Fast-1 Lee et al. ²⁹ Urban areas of MO: neighborhoods Converse and Honolulu, HI BOO-m-radius pub Lee et al. ²⁹ Urban areas of MO: neighborhoods Converse and pub Lee et al. ³⁰ Urban areas of MO: neighborhoods Converse and groups fast-1 Lisabeth et al. ³⁰ Nicces County, TX Census tract Groce Groce Hurvitz et al. ³¹ King County, WA Census tract Large centroid seat Hurvitz et al. ³¹ King County, WA Census tract Large chai chai	Neighborhood Food Environment			
 ²⁸ North and Census block ²⁸ North and Central Brooklyn: groups (n = 448), east and central a dom-radius Harlem, NY around each block group Urban areas of MO: neighborhoods C Kansas City, MO, (n = 17) and and Honolulu, HI 800-m-radius buffer around a centroid structure; HI: census tract (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract ¹³⁰ Nieces County, TX Census tract (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract ¹³¹ King County, WA Census tract (n = 373) 	Main predictor(s)	Neighborhood Characteristics	Data Sources	Results
 ²⁸ North and Census block F central Brooklyn; groups (n = 448), east and central A00-m radius Harlem, NY around each block group Urban areas of M0: neighborhoods C Kansas City, M0, (n = 17) and and Honolulu, H1 800-m-radius buffer around a centroid structure; H1: census tract ³⁰ Nieces County, TX Census tract ³¹ King County, WA Census tract ³¹ King County, WA Census tract ³¹ King County, WA Census tract 				
 central Brooklyn; groups (n = 448), east and central Harlem, NY around each Harlem, NY around each block group (Irban areas of MO: neighborhoods C Kansas City, MO, (n = 17) and and Honolulu, HI 800-m-radius buffer around a centroid structure; HI: census tract (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract ^{1.30} Nieces County, TX Census tract (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract (n = 373) ³¹ King County, WA Census tract (n = 373) 	Fast-food outlets,	Income, race/ethnicity	2000 Census, walking	Low-income African American block
 east and central d00-m radius Harlem, NY around each harlem, NY around each block group Urban areas of M0: neighborhoods C Kansas City, M0, (n = 17) and and Honolulu, H1 800-m-radius buffer around a centroid structure; H1: census tract (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract tract ^{1.3} King County, WA Census tract (n = 373) ^{1.4} King County, WA Census tract (n = 373) 	supermarkets, bodegas		survey	groups had significantly lower
Harlem, NY around each block group Urban areas of M0: neighborhoods C Kansas City, M0, (n = 17) and and Honolulu, H1 800-m-radius buffer around a centroid structure; H1: census tract (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract (n = 373) (n = 373)				proportion of healthy bodegas
 block group Urban areas of M0: neighborhoods C Kansas City, M0. (n = 17) and and Honolulu, H1 800-m-radius buffer around a centroid structure; H1: census tract (n = 64) 1mile buffer around each census tract ¹³⁰ King County, WA Census tract (n = 373) ¹⁴¹ King County, WA Census tract (n = 373) 				(r = -0.49; P = .001) and greater
 ¹¹³⁰ Urban areas of M0: neighborhoods C Kansas City, M0, (n = 17) and and Honolulu, H1 800-m-radius buffer around a centroid structure; H1: census tract (n = 64) 1-mile buffer around each census tract ¹³ King County, WA Census tract (n = 373) ¹⁴ King County, WA Census tract (n = 373) 				accessibility of fast-food outlets
 Urban areas of M0: neighborhoods C Kansas City, M0, (n = 17) and and Honolulu, H1 800-m-radius buffer around a centroid structure; H1: census tract (n = 64) Nieces County, TX Census tract G (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around centroid census tract (n = 373) ³¹ King County, WA Census tract (n = 373) 				(r = -0.39; P = .001).
Kansas City, MO, (n = 17) and and Honolulu, HI 800-m-radius buffer around a centroid structure; HI: census tract (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract 6 King County, WA Census tract (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract 6 King County, WA Census tract (n = 373) La	Convenience stores,	Income, race/ethnicity,	2000 Census, online	Convenience stores were more
and Honolulu, HI 800-m-radius buffer around a centroid structure; HI: census tract (n = 64) G (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract (n = 373) (n = 373)	groceries/retail stores,	population density	yellow pages, walking	prevalent in the most deprived
buffer around a centroid structure; HI: census tract (n = 64) (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract (n = 373) (n = 373)	public markets		survey	areas of Kansas and Honolulu. ^a
a centroid structure; HI: census tract (n = 64) (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract King County, WA Census tract (n = 373)				
structure; HI: census tract (n = 64) Nieces County, TX Census tract 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract (n = 373) (n = 373)				
census tract (n = 64) Nieces County, TX Census tract 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract King County, WA Census tract (n = 373)				
(n = 64) Nieces County, TX Census tract G (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract King County, WA Census tract (n = 373)				
Nieces County, TX Census tract G (n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract King County, WA Census tract L/ (n = 373)				
(n = 64), 1-mile buffer around each census tract King County, WA Census tract (n = 373)	Grocery stores;	Income, race/ethnicity,	Reference USA, US	Hispanic neighborhoods (> 80%)
buffer around each census tract King County, WA Census tract (n = 373)	supermarkets; meat,	commercialization	Business Database;	were more likely to have
each census tract King County, WA Census tract (n = 373)	seafood, and produce		2000 census	convenience stores without gas
tract King County, WA Census tract L ₄ (n = 373)	stores; convenience			stations (RR = 3.94; 95%
King County, WA Census tract L4 (n = 373)	stores with and			Cl = 2.21, 7.02; P < .05).
King County, WA Census tract (n = 373)	without gas stations			Increasing income was
King County, WA Census tract (n = 373)				associated with fewer
King County, WA Census tract (n = 373)				convenience stores with gas
King County, WA Census tract (n = 373)				stations (RR = 0.79; 95%
King County, WA Census tract (n = 373)				Cl = 0.66; 0.95; P < .05).
	Large national and	Income, race/ethnicity,	Local public health	Density of fast-food outlets was
chai outh	local fast-food	arterial road density	agency, 2000 census,	not associated with the
outh	chains, individual		King County >GIS	proportion of non-White
	outlets		street line data	residents (z = -1.98; P = .04).
				Fast-food outlets density was
				linked to lower median
				household income ($z = -10.45$;
				<i>P</i> < .001).
			P.C.	Continued



TABLE 1–Continued						
Sharkey et al. ³²	Hidalgo County, Rio Grande Valley, TX	Census block groups (n = 197) 1-, 3-, and 5-mile radius	Convenience stores, fast-food outlets, supermarkets, grocery stores, mass merchandisers	Income, car ownership	2000 Census, 2002 NAICS	Increased neighborhood deprivation was associated with greater accessibility of convenience stores ($r = -0.245$; $P < .001$).
Sharkey and Horel ³³	Central Texas, 6 rural counties	Census block groups (n = 101)	Convenience stores, grocery stores, supermarkets, discount stores, specialty food stores, drug stores, beverade stores	Income, race/ethnicity, unemployment rate, education	2000 Census, Brazos Valley Food Environment Project, telephone directories, direct observation	Poorer neighborhoods with the greatest minority composition had better access to convenience stores (median = 0.6 km) than wealthier neighborhoods with low minority composition (median = 4.8 km).
Galvez et al. ³⁴	East Harlem, NY	Census block group (n = 165)	Supermarkets, grocery stores, specialty stores, food stores, full-service and fast-food outlets	Income, race/ethnicity	2000 Census, walking survey by a single surveyor in 2004	Hispanic (> 75%) census blocks had higher density of convenience stores (PR = 1.80; 95% Cl = 1.20, 2.70) and fast-food outlets (PR = 2.14; 95% Cl = 1.33, 3.44) than racially mixed census blocks.
Kwate et al. ³⁵ Powell et al. ³⁶	Manhattan, Brooklyn, Queens, Bronx, Staten Island, NY United States	Census block group (n = 5730), 300-m radius around each block group Zip codes (n = 21 976)	National and local fast-food outlets Full-service and fast-food outlets	Income, race/ethnicity Income, race/ethnicity, population density, urbanization	NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene's online directory, 2000 census Dun and Bradstreet National Business List , 2000 census	Percentage of African Americans in block groups was positively associated with fast-food outlet density. ^a Higher density of fast-food outlets in zip codes falling into lower-income quintiles (IR = 1.235 ; 95% Cl = 1.175 , 1.297; $P < .001$) and African American neighborhoods (IR = 0.593 ; 95% Cl = 0.541 , 0.650; $P < .001$).
Moore and Diez Roux ³⁷	Forsyth County, NC; Baltimore County, MD; Manhattan and Bronx, NY	Census tracts (n = 638)	Convenience stores, supermarkets, grocery stores, liquor stores, natural food stores, bakeries, meat and fish markets, specialty food stores	Income, race/ethnicity, education	Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis, InfoUSA, 2000 Census	Convenience stores were more common in African American (PR = 4.4; 95% Cl = 2.0, 10.1) and Hispanic (PR = 5.5; 95% Cl = 2.8, 11.0) neighborhoods in the Bronx and in racially mixed neighborhoods overall (PR = 1.5; 95% Cl = 1.1, 1.9).
						Continued



TABLE 1–Continued	pa					
Lewis et al. ³⁸	Los Angeles, CA	Zip codes target area (n = 13); comparison	Fast-food, fast casual, and sit-down restaurants	Income, race/ethnicity	City's Environmental Health Office database, walking survey by multiple surveyors	Poorer neighborhoods had a higher proportion of fast-food outlets than wealthier
		area (n = 6)°				neighborhoods (25.6% vs 11.2%; P < .001). Neighborhoods with a higher percentage of African Americans had fewer
						healthy food options than neighborhoods with lower percentage of African Americans (33.4% vs. 20.0% · b < 0.011)
Block et al. ³⁹	New Orteans, LA	Census tract (n = 156), 0.5-1-mile buffer arrund aach	Fast-food chains	Income, race/ethnicity, alcohol outlet density, presence of interstate or mainr etate hickware	Orleans Parish Sanitation Department, local yellow pages, restaurant locator Web sites 1990	African American neighborhoods (> 80%) had 2.4 fast-food outlets/sq mile; White neidehorhoods (> 80%) had 1 5
		census tract			Census Census	Fast-food outlet density was associated with neighborhood percentage of African Americans (r = 0.160; P = .04).
Morland et al. ⁴⁰	Maryland, North Carolina, Mississippi, Minnesota	Census tracts (n = 208)	Convenience stores, supermarkets, grocery stores, full-service and fast-food restaurants	Income, race/ethnicity, education	ARIC study database, local health department, state departments of agriculture	60% of African Americans lived in areas with ≥ 1 fast-food outlets compared to White (55%)
Morland et al. ⁴¹	Mississippi, North Carolina, Maryland, Minnesota	Census tract (n = 216)	Supermarkets, grocery stores, convenience stores with gas stations, specialty food stores, full-service restaurants, fast-food outlets,	Income, race/ethnicity, car ownership	Local departments of environmental health, state departments of agriculture, 1990 census	Fast-food outlets were more prevalent in neighborhoods with low (PR = 1.4 ; 95% CI = 1.0 , 1.9) and medium (PR = 1.3 ; 95% CI = 0.9 , 1.8) income. Carry-out and fast-food outlets were twice as common in White (PR = 2.0 ;
			carryout places, carryout specialty items, bars and tavems			95% Cl = 1.0, 4.0 and PR = 1.5; 95% Cl = 1.0, 2.2, respectively) and racially mixed (PR = 2.7; 95% Cl = 1.4, 5.4 and PR = 2.3; 95% Cl = 1.5, 3.4, respectively) neighborhoods.
Macintyre et al. ⁴²	Glasgow, UK	Data zones ^b (n = 377)	Restaurants, fast-food chains, cafes, take-away outlets	Income	2001 Census, Glasgow City Council Food Safety Unit 2003 database	Density of take-away was higher in the second most affluent quintile (mean = 1.61 ; $P = .04$).
						Continued



	ulasguw, un	uata zuntes (n = 694)	convenience stores, supermarkets,		census output areas	convenience stores than wealthier
			delicatessens, bakers, butchers, fruit and			neighborhoods (mean = 1.31; P < .01).
			vegetable sellers, fishmongers			
Jones et al. ⁴⁴	Nova Scotia, Canada	Communities (n = 266)	Fast-food chains	Income, educational level,	Online store locators,	Community-level deprivation was
				marital status, employment	public database	associated with greater proportion
				status		of fast-food outlets (mean = 0.047;
3						P < .001).
Pearce et al. ⁴⁵	New Zealand	Territorial authorities	Multinational and	Income	Online yellow pages,	Access to fast-food outlets was
		(n = 74)	local		2001 census	higher in high-deprivation (median
			fast-food outlets			distance = 1870 m) than in
						low-deprivation (median distance =
34						714 m) neighborhoods (P = .001).
Daniel et al."	Montreal, Quebec	Census tract ($n = 862$),	Fast-food outlets,	Income, household structure,	2003 Commercial	No association was found between
		1-km buffer	fruit and vegetable	educational attainment,	database, Montreal	fast-food outlet density and
			stores	language, road network	census metropolitan	neighborhood income. ^a
					area	
Smoyer-Tomic et al. ⁴⁷	Edmonton, Alberta	215 residential	Fast-food outlets	Income, race/ethnicity,	2001 Canadian census,	People living in low-income
		neighborhoods, radius		immigration, age,	health inspection	neighborhoods were 2.3 times as
		of 500, 800, 1000,		family status, housing,	database	likely as residents of affluent
		and 1500 m		urbanization		neighborhoods to have fast-food
						outlets within 5-10 min walk
						(OR = 2.393; 95% Cl = 1.081,
						5.297; P = .03).
Hemphill et al. ⁴⁸	Edmonton, Alberta	Neighborhoods	Fast-food outlets	Income, educational	Edmonton Department	Neighborhoods with a greater
		(n = 204)		attainment, unemployment	of City Planning,	proportion of low-income persons
				rate, immigration	Capital Health Region	(mean = 18.32, SD = 9.36;
					Department of	P = .001), renters (mean = 44.86;
					Environmental Health,	SD = 23.55; P = .001), and
					Statistics Canada 2001	immigrants (mean = 23.00;
						SD = 6.62; P = .028) had
						increased fast-food access.
Ecological studies MacDonald et al ⁴⁹	Scotland and England	Fueland: super output	Fast-food	Income	(1) Burger King Web site.	Poorer neighborhoods had a
	D	area ^c (n = 32 482);	multinational		online yellow pages	greater density of fast-food
		Scotland: data zone ^b (n = 6505)	chains			outlets (F = 58.339, P = .001).

1648 | Government, Law, and Public Health Practice | Peer Reviewed | Hilmers et al.



Cummins et al. ⁵⁰	Scotland and England	England: super output One international	Une international	IIIcollie	SUUL VEISUAS, UIIIIIE	i noi licigimolilona lian all
		area ^c (n = 32 482);	fast-food chain		yellow pages	increased exposure to outlets
		Scotland: data zone ^b				from 1 global fast-food company
		(n = 6505)				(mean = 0028; P < .001).
Reidpath et al. ⁵¹	Melbourne, Australia	Postal district	Fast-food chains	Income	Online yellow pages,	Residents of areas with an
		(n = 267)			1999 census	individual mean weekly income
						of \$179.50 had 2.5 times the
						exposure to fast-food outlets
						as residents of areas with an
						individual mean weekly income
						of \$649.50 ^a

and have a size less than 5000 square feet. $^{\rm 27(p996)}$

RESULTS

Of the 24 studies identified (Table 1), 14 were conducted in the United States²⁸⁻⁴¹; the remainder took place in Canada, England, Scotland, Australia, and New Zealand.^{42–51} Most studies were cross-sectional,28-48 and 3 had an ecological design.49-51 Two studies used nationally representative data.^{36,45} The small geographic areas chosen as the units of analysis were a census tract, 29-31,37,39-41,46 a census block group,^{28,32-34} a zip code or postal district,^{36,38,51} a community or neighborhood,^{29,44,47,48} a territorial authority,45 or a data zone.42,43,49,50 Factors that influenced the choice of units of analysis were the country or area where the study was conducted and the study design.

Techniques for identifying fast-food outlet and convenience store locations varied. Most studies used public health agency databases^{28,30,32,35-42,44,46-48} and area-based geocoding techniques.^{28-33,35,46,47} Five studies conducted walking surveys in a subsample of their units of analvsis.^{28,29,33,34,38} Some studies used walking surveys to confirm locations, to assess the availability of healthy menu options, 28,29,31 and to perform food inventories in selected fast-food outlets and convenience stores.34,38 Only 8 studies^{28-30,32,35,39,46,47} employed circular buffers,⁵² ranging from a 0.2- to a 5-mile radius from each unit of analysis to

Population target area = 531 141; population comparison area = 222 019

define the residents' neighborhood food environment.

Among the neighborhood characteristics mentioned in the studies were race and ethnicity,^{28-41,47} income,²⁸⁻⁵¹ educational level, 33,37,40,44,46,48 employment status,33,48 commercialization,³⁰ alcohol outlet density,39 presence of interstate or major state highways, 31,39,46 urbanization,36,47 housing,46,47 and car ownership.32,40 Two studies assessed disparities among homogeneous demographic areas with predominantly African American³⁴ or Hispanic³⁰ communities.

Accessibility of Fast-Food Outlets

Eighteen studies investigated income disparities and exposure to fast-food outlets.^{28,31,32,34–36,38,39,41,42,44–51}

Fourteen found a relationship between neighborhood deprivation and fast-food outlet density.^{28,31,32,36,38,41,42,44,45,47-51}

Morland et al. examined 216 census tracts in Maryland, North Carolina, Mississippi, and Minnesota and reported a higher prevalence of fast-food restaurants among low-income neighborhoods.⁴¹ Hurvitz et al. examined 373 census tracts in King County, Washington, and found that the density of fastfood restaurants was inversely associated with the neighborhood household income.³¹ Poorer neighborhoods in South Los Angeles, California, had a greater proportion of fast-food restaurants than did neighborhoods in wealthier West Los Angeles.³⁸ At the national level,

TABLE 1—Continued



a comprehensive study of 21 976 US zip codes with 259 182 fullservice restaurants and 69 219 fast-food restaurants found that these establishments were more highly concentrated in low- and middle-income neighborhoods than in high-income neighborhoods.³⁶

In New Zealand, a national study of 74 territorial authorities, comprising 37 760 neighborhoods, found that access to multinational fast-food restaurants and small local fast-food businesses was greater in poor than in wealthier neighborhoods.45 In Melbourne, the second largest city in Australia, people living in areas with the lowest weekly incomes (\$169-\$199) had 2.5 times the exposure to fast-food restaurants as residents of areas with the highest weekly incomes (\$400-\$899).⁵¹ Results from studies in the United Kingdom and Canada were mixed. Two studies in the United Kingdom found that poor neighborhoods were more likely than wealthier neighborhoods to have a high density of fast-food restaurants.^{49,50} However, a study in Glasgow found that fast-food restaurant chains were more likely to be concentrated in more affluent neighborhoods.42 In Canada, studies in Nova Scotia and Edmonton found a significant association between socioeconomic deprivation and higher prevalence and accessibility of fast-food restaurants, 44,47,48 but a study of 862 census tracts in Montreal found no association between density of all types of fast-food outlets and neighborhood income level.46

Nine studies in the United States (and none in other countries)

examined neighborhood racial/ethnic disparities and exposure to fast-food outlets.^{28,31,34-36,38-41} Studies in Los Angeles,³⁸ New York City,35 and New Orleans, Louisiana,³⁹ found that unhealthy foods were more heavily promoted in African American communities. In South Los Angeles, neighborhoods with a higher proportion of African American residents had fewer healthy food choices and more fast-food restaurants than did West Los Angeles, an area of the city with a lower percentage of African Americans.38 A study of 5370 census blocks distributed across the 5 boroughs of New York City found a higher density of fastfood restaurant chains and independent local fast-food businesses in predominantly African American areas than in majority White locales.³⁵ In predominantly African American areas, exposure to fast food was similar in more and less affluent neighborhoods, suggesting that racial correlates of fast-food density were more significant than socioeconomic correlates.35 Similar findings were reported in a study of 165 census tracts in New Orleans, where predominantly African American neighborhoods had 2.4 fast-food restaurants per square mile, and predominantly White neighborhoods had 1.5. In this study, the proportion of African American residents was also found to be a more powerful predictor of fast-food restaurant density than was median household income.39

A study of 448 block groups in New York found that African American block groups had fewer opportunities to obtain healthy foods and greater access to fastfood restaurants than did other ethnic block groups.²⁸ Inequities in the availability of national and local fast-food restaurants within a single-minority community were reported in a study of 165 census block groups in a low-income neighborhood of East Harlem, New York, where predominantly Hispanic census blocks had a higher proportion of fast-food restaurants than did racially mixed census blocks.34 In a study of 216 census tracts in Mississippi, North Carolina, Maryland, and Minnesota, fast-food restaurants were twice as common in racially mixed neighborhoods as in predominantly African American neighborhoods.41

By contrast, a study in King County, Washington,³¹ and a national study³⁶ detected no associations between a greater prevalence of fast-food restaurants and the proportion of non-White residents. In King County, however, the census tracts examined had little ethnic variability: about 85% of the population was White.³¹

Accessibility of Convenience Stores

Eight studies investigated neighborhood disparities in the density of convenience stores.^{28–30,32–34,41,43} Differences by neighborhood income and race/ethnicity were found in urban and rural areas of the United States.^{29,33} A comparative study of the urban food environments of Kansas City, Missouri, and Honolulu, Hawaii, found that convenience stores were more prevalent in the parts of these cities that were the most deprived and had the highest concentration of ethnic minorities.²⁹ A study of 6 rural counties in Texas found that poor neighborhoods with higher proportions of minorities had greater access to convenience stores.³³ Similar findings were reported in a study of 197 census blocks in Texas, where increased neighborhood deprivation was associated with greater access to convenience stores.³²

A study in New York City examined healthy and unhealthy food environments in ethnic neighborhoods to develop a food desert index. African American neighborhoods had more bodegas classified as less healthy because of their large stock of foods of low nutritional value than did Hispanic and White neighborhoods.²⁸ In East Harlem, African American neighborhoods were less likely to have convenience stores than were racially mixed neighborhoods, and predominately Hispanic neighborhoods were more likely to have convenience stores.34

One group looked at Jackson City, Mississippi; Forsyth County, North Carolina; Washington County, Maryland; and 7 suburbs of Minneapolis, Minnesota, and found a higher proportion of convenience stores without gas stations in minority and racially mixed than in White neighborhoods.⁴¹ In addition, more convenience stores were located in poor than in wealthier neighborhoods.41 A study among Hispanic communities in Nueces County, Texas, reported a greater availability of convenience stores in



Hispanic than in non-Hispanic White neighborhoods. Comparisons between lower- and higherincome areas within the same Hispanic neighborhoods found no significant associations.³⁰ One international study found a greater prevalence of convenience stores in the most deprived neighborhoods of Glasgow than in the least deprived neighborhoods.⁴³

DISCUSSION

The principle of environmental justice charges society and government with the responsibility to provide equal access to healthy food options for all citizens. Our review found socioeconomic, ethnic, and racial disparities in neighborhood access to fast-food outlets and convenience stores and demonstrated that much remains to be done before environmental justice is achieved. Neighborhoods where economically disadvantaged and minority populations reside were more likely to have abundant sources of foods that promote unhealthy eating. Previous reviews have shown that limited access to supermarkets and grocery stores in low-income neighborhoods may represent a significant barrier to the consumption of healthy foods.⁵³ Excessive exposure to unhealthy food sources and limited access to healthier options may explain the high prevalence of obesity observed in these communities. Such associations have been described not only in the United States.54-56 but also in the United Kingdom, 57-61 the Netherlands,^{62,63} Sweden,⁶⁴ Australia,65,66 and Canada,67 where residing in a low-income or deprived area was independently

associated with prevalence of obesity and with poor-quality diets.

Accessibility is a key determinant of consumption $^{68-71}$ and can act as a barrier to or a facilitator for healthy eating,⁷² as well as a component of environmental justice. Accessibility of healthful food sources may lower the risk of overweight and obesity by facilitating healthier diets,⁷³ and easy access to nutritionally inappropriate food sources may contribute to excessive and harmful weight gain.⁷² In general, fast-food outlets and convenience stores offer highcalorie foods,74 leading to higher total caloric intakes for their customers.75,76 Fast-food outlets are known for their convenient and affordable energy-dense foods.⁷⁷ Convenience stores provide mostly prepared, high-calorie foods and a limited choice of fresh but expensive produce.9,72,78 Fastfood outlet patrons have been shown to consume large portion sizes and to significantly underestimate the caloric content of the foods they eat, particularly for calorie-rich foods.79

Policy initiatives such as calorie labeling in fast-food restaurants are intended to help consumers make informed menu choices.80 However, assessments of the effectiveness of these regulations have yielded inconsistent results. In New York City, a study comparing purchasing patterns before and after the regulation was implemented reported that fastfood consumers living in low-income neighborhoods were less likely to use the calorie information.⁸¹ Furthermore, the use of the calorie information by low-income customers was not associated

with the purchase of meals with lower caloric content.⁸¹ Another New York City study found no clear reduction in mean energy content of lunchtime purchases for all menu items in the full sample of fast-food chains examined. However, the regulation appeared to exert a positive effect on energy intake in 3 of the sample's 13 fast-food chains.82 In King County, Washington, a study of a Mexican fast-food chain found no change in mean calories purchased after calorie labeling was implemented.⁸³ A study in Pierce County, Washington, evaluating labeling in a small convenience sample of full-service restaurants showed that customers who used the calorie information reduced their orders by an average of 75 calories.84

Despite these inconsistent results, calorie-labeling initiatives may encourage fast-food outlets to improve their menu offerings and promote lower-calorie items. More studies are needed to assess the potential impact of repeated exposure to such regulations on long-term consumer purchasing patterns and their impact on environmental justice.

Other initiatives, such as public-private partnerships to introduce supermarkets to underserved areas, offer promise. For example, the Pennsylvania Fresh Food Financing Initiative found that adding a supermarket to an underserved area increased availability of healthy foods in the community.⁸⁵

The emergence of so-called urban food deserts—areas with limited access to healthful food sources and high levels of racial

segregation and income inequalitymandates public health intervention. Improved transportation in low-income neighborhoods, thus improving access to healthful foods; mobile markets to bring fresh produce into communities; and direct incentives for food retailers to locate near low-income communities, such as zoning allowances, tax holidays, or tax rebates, are among proposed strategies for a more equitable distribution of healthful food sources.86 Increased access to supermarkets, increased availability of healthy food choices, policy initiatives to encourage healthier menu offerings in fast-food outlets, and nutrition education in the community may work synergistically to reduce the risk of obesity and improve dietary quality in these populations. However, the differences in results across racial/ethnic. socioeconomic, and national boundaries reported by the studies we reviewed demonstrate that no one-size-fits-all solution exists for the problem of environmental justice. Each situation has its own regional flavor and requires flexible strategies at the national and local level to effect positive change.

Limitations

Our database search did not include sociological abstracts or the science and social science citation indices of the Web of Science. Nevertheless, the multiple databases we used encompassed the sociological literature, making the likelihood of missed articles small. Our definition of environmental justice included "meaningful involvement of all people."^{1(p1)}



Despite its importance, addressing this integral component of environmental justice was beyond the scope of this article. We strongly encourage further research into how community involvement may be strengthened.

Conclusions about cause and effect could not be established because most of the studies in our review were cross sectional. Therefore, other environmental and genetic causes of obesity and poor dietary quality in these populations cannot be ruled out as confounders. Not all studies employed buffering techniques, which are the most accurate methods available for defining impact areas.⁸⁷ This may explain the disparate results observed in some international studies that relied on secondary data to describe the food environment.

Description of the food environment involves the identification of specific types of outlets and their location; it has therefore been recommended that a field validation be conducted or that multiple data sources be used to increase the quality of the results.88 No studies conducted outside the United States followed these recommendations. Some studies were limited to large fastfood chains. Other fast-food sources, such as small corner stores (e.g., bodegas and Asian food markets) were not considered in many of the studies, which could have caused underestimation of convenience stores, which are overrepresented in low-income and minority neighborhoods.89

In some cases, the lack of standardized methodology hindered direct comparison of results. For example, in 2 studies of the food environments in Hispanic and African American neighborhoods in New York City, use of buffering techniques in one but not the other may explain their differing findings.^{28,34} Fast-food or total dietary intake, and home availability of energy-dense foods, were not objectively assessed, limiting our ability to determine whether the physical presence of fast-food outlets and convenience stores could be translated into an increased consumption of energy-dense foods. Nevertheless, current evidence suggests that easily accessible fast-food outlets and convenience stores may result in greater consumption of unhealthy foods and higher energy intake.90

Studies on store food quality have demonstrated the impact of in-store availability and price of energy-dense snack foods on purchase and consumption choices.⁹¹ Prospective studies that objectively measure the dietary intake of healthy foods in relationship to proximity to fast-food outlets and convenience stores; reliable, standardized methods for measuring density of and proximity to fastfood sources; and inclusion of small corner stores in similar studies are all needed.

Conclusions

The impact of neighborhood design on residents' health has become a focus of research interest.²⁶ Results from these studies have led the environmental justice movement to expand its concerns beyond the unequal distribution of environmental

hazards to issues of public health, such as obesity.⁹² Low-income and racial/ethnic minority populations have substantial environmental challenges to overcome to make healthy dietary choices and to maintain a healthy body weight.⁵³

The disproportionate distribution of food sources that contributes to the development of unhealthy behaviors among these communities and the consequent disease burden deeply affect not only individuals and families, but also society as a whole. Environmental justice will be achieved, says the Environmental Protection Agency,

when everyone enjoys the same degree of protection from environmental and health hazards and equal access to the decision-making process to have a healthy environment in which to live, learn, and work.⁹³

This principle of fairness and equity needs to be reflected in neighborhood environments that facilitate healthy food choices for all societal strata. This should include public-private partnerships to increase access to healthy foods in underserved areas and the participation and accountability of the community in formulating public policy and environmental decisions. Nutrition education, including learning to understand food and menu labels, could help residents of low-income communities to make healthier choices. These innovations could help reduce neighborhood inequalities, enhance environmental justice, and promote lifelong healthy eating habits, optimum health, and vibrant communities.

About the Authors

Angela Hilmers and Jayna Dave are with the Children's Nutrition Research Center, Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX. David C. Hilmers is with the Departments of Pediatrics and Internal Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine.

Correspondence should be sent to Angela Hilmers, MD, 2846 Bellefontaine St., Houston, TX 77025 (e-mail: hilmers@ bcm.edu). Reprints can be ordered at http://www.ajph.org by clicking the "Reprints" link.

This article was accepted April 16, 2012.

Contributors

A. Hilmers originated the study, drafted the first version of the article, and coordinated all revisions. All authors conceptualized ideas, interpreted findings, and critically reviewed and approved the final version of the article.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Karen W. Cullen for her timely and insightful comments on an earlier version of this article. We are indebted to Helena VonVille from the University of Texas School of Public Health Library for her invaluable assistance in conducting the systematic review.

Human Participant Protection

This study was a review of the literature, and institutional review board approval was not needed.

References

1. National Research Council. *Toward Environmental Justice: Research, Education, and Health Policy Needs*. Washington, DC: National Academies press; 1999.

2. Black JL, Macinko J. Neighborhoods and obesity. *Nutr Rev.* 2008;66(1):2–20.

3. Frank L, Kerr J, Saelens B, Sallis J, Glanz K, Chapman J. Food outlet visits, physical activity and body weight: variations by gender and race-ethnicity. *Br J Sports Med.* 2009;43(2):124–131.

4. Sallis JF, Glanz K. Physical activity and food environments: solutions to the obesity epidemic. *Milbank Q.* 2009;87 (1):123–154.

5. Chung C, Myers J. Do the poor pay more for food? An analysis of grocery store availability and food price disparities. *J Consum Aff.* 1999;33(2):276–296.



6. Zenk SN, Schulz AJ, Israel BA, James SA, Bao S, Wilson ML. Fruit and vegetable access differs by community racial composition and socioeconomic position in Detroit, Michigan. *Ethn Dis.* 2006;16 (1):275–280.

7. Fisher BD, Strogatz DS. Community measures of low-fat milk consumption: comparing store shelves with households. *AmJ Public Health*. 1999;89(2):235–237.

8. Baker EA, Schootman M, Barnidge E, Kelly C. The role of race and poverty in access to foods that enable individuals to adhere to dietary guidelines. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2006;3(3):A76.

9. Horowitz CR, Colson KA, Hebert PL, Lancaster K. Barriers to buying healthy foods for people with diabetes: evidence of environmental disparities. *Am J Public Health*. 2004;94(9):1549–1554.

 Shaffer A. The persistence of LA's grocery gap: the need for a new food policy and approach to market development. Occidental College Scholar. 2002.
 Paper 16. Available at: http://scholar.oxy. edu/uep_faculty/16. Accessed June 7, 2012.

11. Alwitt LF, Donley TD. Retail stores in poor urban neighborhoods. *J Consum Aff.* 1997;31(1):139–164.

12. Zenk SN, Schulz AJ, Israel BA, James SA, Bao S, Wilson ML. Neighborhood racial composition, neighborhood poverty, and the spatial accessibility of supermarkets in metropolitan Detroit. *Am J Public Health.* 2005;95(4):660–667.

13. Jetter KM, Cassady DL. The availability and cost of healthier food alternatives. *Am J Prev Med.* 2006;30(1):38–44.

14. Algert SJ, Agrawal A, Lewis DS. Disparities in access to fresh produce in low-income neighborhoods in Los Angeles. *Am J Prev Med.* 2006;30(5): 365–370.

15. Morland K, Filomena S. Disparities in the availability of fruits and vegetables between racially segregated urban neighborhoods. *Public Health Nutr.* 2007;10 (12):1481–1489.

16. Hosler AS, Varadarajulu D, Ronsani AE, Fredrick BL, Fisher BD. Low-fat milk and high-fiber bread availability in food stores in urban and rural communities. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* 2006;12 (6):556–562.

17. Sloane DC, Diamount AL, Lewis LB, et al. Improving the nutritional resource environment for healthy living through community-based participatory research. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2003;18(7):568–575.

 Glanz K, Sallis JF, Saelens BE, Frank LD. Nutrition Environment Measures Survey in Stores (NEMS-S): development and evaluation. *Am J Prev Med.* 2007; 32(4):282–289.

19. Drewnowski A. Obesity, diets, and social inequalities. *Nutr Rev.* 2009;67 (suppl 1):S36–S39.

20. Kwate NO, Loh JM. Separate and unequal: the influence of neighborhood and school characteristics on spatial proximity between fast food and schools. *Prev Med.* 2010;51(2):153–156.

21. Bodor JN, Ulmer VM, Dunaway LF, Farley TA, Rose D. The rationale behind small food store interventions in lowincome urban neighborhoods: insights from New Orleans. *J Nutr.* 2010;140(6): 1185–1188.

22. Lovasi GS, Hutson MA, Guerra M, Neckerman KM. Built environments and obesity in disadvantaged populations. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2009;31:7–20.

23. Bell JF, Wilson JS, Liu GC. Neighborhood greenness and 2-Year changes in body mass index of children and youth. *Am J Prev Med.* 2008;35(6): 547–553.

24. Burdette HL, Whitaker RC. Neighborhood playgrounds, fast food restaurants, and crime: relationships to overweight in low-income preschool children. *Prev Med.* 2004;38(1):57–63.

 Liu GC, Wilson JS, Qi R, Ying J. Green neighborhoods, food retail and childhood overweight: differences by population density. *Am J Health Promot.* 2007;21(4 suppl):317–325.

 Casey AA, Elliott M, Glanz K, et al. Impact of the food environment and physical activity environment on behaviors and weight status in rural U.S. communities. *Prev Med.* 2008;47(6):600–604.

27. Hendricks SA, Landsittel DP, Amandus HE, Malcan J, Bell J. A matched case-control study of convenience store robbery risk factors. *J Occup Environ Med.* 1999;41(11): 995–1004.

28. Gordon C, Purciel-Hill M, Ghai NR, Kaufman L, Graham R, Van Wye G. Measuring food deserts in New York City's low-income neighborhoods. *Health Place.* 2011;17(2):696–700.

29. Lee RE, Heinrich KM, Medina AV, et al. A picture of the healthful food environment in two diverse urban cities. *Environ Health Insights.* 2010;4:49–60.

30. Lisabeth LD, Sánchez BN, Escobar J, et al. The food environment in an urban

Mexican American community. *Health Place*. 2010;16(3):598–605.

31. Hurvitz PM, Moudon AV, Rehm CD, Streichert LC, Drewnowski A. Arterial roads and area socioeconomic status are predictors of fast food restaurant density in King County, WA. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2009;6:46.

32. Sharkey JR, Horel S, Han D, Huber JC. Association between neighborhood need and spatial access to food stores and fast food restaurants in neighborhoods of Colonias. *Int J Health Geogr.* 2009;8:9.

33. Sharkey JR, Horel S. Neighborhood socioeconomic deprivation and minority composition are associated with better potential spatial access to the ground-truthed food environment in a large rural area. *J Nutr.* 2008;138(3):620–627.

34. Galvez MP, Morland K, Raines C, et al. Race and food store availability in an inner-city neighbourhood. *Public Health Nutr.* 2008;11(6):624–631.

35. Kwate NO, Yau CY, Loh JM, Williams D. Inequality in obesigenic environments: fast food density in New York City. *Health Place.* 2009;15(1):364–373.

36. Powell LM, Chaloupka FJ, Bao Y. The availability of fast-food and full-service restaurants in the United States: associations with neighborhood characteristics. *AmJ Prev Med.* 2007;33(4 suppl):S240– S245.

37. Moore L, Diez Roux A. Associations of neighborhood characteristics with the location and type of food stores. *Am J Public Health.* 2006;96:325–331.

 Lewis LB, Sloane DC, Nascimento LM, et al. African Americans' access to healthy food options in South Los Angeles restaurants. *Am J Public Health*. 2005;95 (4):668–673.

39. Block JP, Scribner RA, DeSalvo KB. Fast food, race/ethnicity, and income: a geographic analysis. *Am J Prev Med.* 2004;27(3):211–217.

40. Morland K, Wing S, Diez Roux A, Poole C. Neighborhood characteristics associated with the location of food stores and food service places. *Am J Prev Med.* 2002;22(1):23–29.

41. Morland K, Wing S, Diez Roux A. The contextual effect of the local food environment on residents' diets: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *Am J Public Health.* 2002;92(11):1761–1767.

42. Macintyre S, McKay L, Cummins S, Burns C. Out-of-home food outlets and

area deprivation: case study in Glasgow, UK. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2005;2:16.

43. Macdonald L, Ellaway A, Macintyre S. The food retail environment and area deprivation in Glasgow City, UK. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2009;6:52.

44. Jones J, Terashima M, Rainham D. Fast food and deprivation in Nova Scotia. *Can J Public Health*. 2009;100(1): 32–35.

45. Pearce J, Blakely T, Witten K, Bartie P. Neighborhood deprivation and access to fast-food retailing a national study. *Am J Prev Med.* 2007;32(5): 375–382.

46. Daniel M, Kestens Y, Paquet C. Demographic and urban form correlates of healthful and unhealthful food availability in Montréal, Canada. *Can J Public Health.* 2009;100(3):189–193.

47. Smoyer-Tomic KE, Spence JC, Raine KD, et al. The association between neighborhood socioeconomic status and exposure to supermarkets and fast food outlets. *Health Place.* 2008;14 (4):740–754.

48. Hemphill E, Raine K, Spence J, Smoyer-Tomic K. Exploring obesogenic food environments in Edmonton, Canada: the association between socioeconomic factors and fast-food outlet access. *Am J Health Promot.* 2008;22(6):426–432.

49. Macdonald L, Cummins S, Macintyre S. Neighbourhood fast food environment and area deprivation—substitution or concentration? *Appetite*. 2007;49(1): 251–254.

50. Cummins SC, McKay L, MacIntyre S. McDonald's restaurants and neighborhood deprivation in Scotland and England. *Am J Prev Med.* 2005;29(4): 308–310.

51. Reidpath DD, Burns C, Garrand M, Mahoney M, Townsend M. An ecological study of the relationship between social and environmental determinants of obesity. *Health Place*. 2002;8(2):141–145.

52. Glossary and definition of key terms in GIS. MiMi.hu. Available at: http://en. mimi.hu/gis/buffer.html. Accessed November 15, 2011.

53. Larson NI, Story MT, Nelson MC. Neighborhood environments: disparities in access to healthy foods in the U.S. *Am J Prev Med.* 2009;36(1):74–81.

54. Diez-Roux AV, Nieto FJ, Caulfield L, Tyroler HA, Watson RL, Szklo M. Neighbourhood differences in diet: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities



(ARIC) Study. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1999;53(1):55–63.

55. Kahn HS, Tatham LM, Pamuk ER, Heath CW Jr. Are geographic regions with high income inequality associated with risk of abdominal weight gain? *Soc Sci Med.* 1998;47(1):1–6.

56. Diez-Roux AV, Link BG, Northridge ME. A multilevel analysis of income inequality and cardiovascular disease risk factors. *Soc Sci Med.* 2000;50(5):673–687.

57. Ellaway A, Macintyre S. Does where you live predict health related behaviours? A case study in Glasgow. *Health Bull (Edinb).* 1996; 54(6):443–446.

 Ellaway A, Anderson A, Macintyre S. Does area of residence affect body size and shape? *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 1997;21(4):304–308.

59. Forsyth A, Macintyre S, Anderson A. Diets for disease? Intraurban variations in reported food consumption in Glasgow. *Appetite.* 1994;22(3):259–274.

60. Shohaimi S, Welch A, Bingham S, et al. Residential area deprivation predicts fruit and vegetable consumption independently of individual educational level and occupational social class: a cross sectional population study in the Norfolk cohort of the European Prospective Investigation Into Cancer (EPIC-Norfolk). *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2004;58 (8):686–691.

61. Davey Smith GD, Hart C, Watt G, Hole D, Hawthorne V. Individual social class, area-based deprivation, cardiovascular disease risk factors, and mortality: the Renfrew and Paisley Study. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 1998;52(6): 399–405.

62. van Lenthe FJ, Mackenbach JP. Neighbourhood deprivation and overweight: the GLOBE study. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2002;26(2):234–240.

63. Monden CWS, van Lenthe FJ, Mackenbach JP. A simultaneous analysis of neighbourhood and childhood socioeconomic environment with self-assessed health and health-related behaviours. *Health Place.* 2006;12(4):394–403.

64. Sundquist J, Malmström M, Johansson SE. Cardiovascular risk factors and the neighbourhood environment: a multi-level analysis. *Int J Epidemiol.* 1999;28(5):841–845.

65. Turrell G, Blakely T, Patterson C, Oldenburg B. A multilevel analysis of socioeconomic (small area) differences in household food purchasing behaviour. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2004;58 (3):208–215.

66. Dollman J, Pilgrim A. Changes in body composition between 1997 and 2002 among South Australian children: influences of socio-economic status and location of residence. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2005;29(2):166–170.

67. Moffat T, Galloway T, Latham J. Stature and adiposity among children in contrasting neighborhoods in the city of Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. *Am J Hum Biol.* 2005;17(3):355–367.

68. Baranowski T, Hearn M. Health behavior interventions with families. In: Gochman DS, ed. Handbook of Health Behavior Research IV–Relevance for Professionals and Issues for the Future. New York, NY: Plenum Press; 1997: 303–323.

69. Blanchette L, Brug J. Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among 6–12-year-old children and effective interventions to increase consumption. *J Hum Nutr Diet.* 2005;18(6):431–443.

70. Rasmussen M, Krølner R, Klepp KI, et al. Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among children and adolescents: a review of the literature. Part I: quantitative studies. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2006;3:22.

 Pearson N, Biddle S, Gorely T.
 Family correlates of fruit and vegetable consumption in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *Public Health Nutr.* 2009;12(2):267–283.

72. Ver Ploeg M, Breneman V, Farrigan T, et al. Access to affordable and nutritious food—measuring and understanding food deserts and their consequences: report to Congress. US Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. Administrative publication AP-036. June 2009. Available at: http://www.ers.usda. gov/Publications/AP/AP036/. Accessed November 15, 2011.

 Cerin E, Frank LD, Sallis JF, et al. From neighborhood design and food options to residents' weight status. *Appetite*. 2011;56(3):693–703.

 Guthrie JF, Lin BH, Frazao E. Role of food prepared away from home in the American diet, 1977–78 versus 1994– 96: changes and consequences. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2002;34(3):140–150.

75. Bowman SA, Vinyard BT. Fast food consumption of U.S. adults: impact on energy and nutrient intakes and overweight status. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 2004;23 (2):163–168.

76. Diliberti N, Bordi PL, Conklin MT, Roe LS, Rolls BJ. Increased portion size leads to increased energy intake in a restaurant meal. *Obes Res.* 2004;12(3):562–568.

77. Bustillos B, Sharkey JR, Anding J, McIntosh A. Availability of more healthful food alternatives in traditional, convenience, and nontraditional types of food stores in two rural Texas counties. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2009;109(5):883–889.

 Liese AD, Weis KE, Pluto D.
 Food store types, availability and cost of foods in a rural environment. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2007;107(11): 1916–1923.

79. Burton S, Creyer EH, Kees J, Huggins K. Attacking the obesity epidemic: the potential health benefits of providing nutrition information in restaurants. *Am J Public Health*. 2006;96(9):1669–1675.

80. Simon P, Jarosz CJ, Kuo T, Fielding JE. Menu Labeling as a Potential Strategy for Combating the Obesity Epidemic: A Health Impact Assessment. Los Angeles, CA: Los Angeles County Department of Public Health; 2008.

81. Elbel B, Kersh R, Brescoll VL, Dixon LB. Calorie labeling and food choices: a first look at the effects on low-income people in New York City. *Health Aff* (*Millwood*). 2009;28(6):w1110-w1121.

82. Dumanovsky T, Huang CY, Nonas CA, Matte TD, Bassett MT, Silver LD. Changes in energy content of lunchtime purchases from fast food restaurants after introduction of calorie labelling: cross sectional customer surveys. *BMJ*. 2011;343:d4464.

83. Finkelstein EA, Strombotne KL, Chan NL, Krieger J. Mandatory menu labeling in one fast-food chain in King County, Washington. *Am J Prev Med.* 2011;40(2):122–127.

84. Pulos E, Leng K. Evaluation of a voluntary menu-labeling program in full-service restaurants. *Am J Public Health*. 2010;100(6):1035–1039.

85. Goldstein I, Loethen L, Kako E, Califano C. Community development financial institution financing of supermarkets in underserved communities: a case study. August 1, 2008. Available at: http:// www.trfund.com/resource/downloads/ policypubs/TRF_CDFI_SupermarketStudy. pdf. Accessed November 15, 2011.

86. Mead MN. Urban issues: the sprawl of food deserts. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008;116(8):A335.

87. US Environmental Protection Agency. Computer Assisted Environmental Justice Index Methodology. Dallas, TX: Office of Planning and Analysis, Region 6; 1994. Technical Report, 1994; p.13.

 Lake AA, Burgoinec T, Greenhalghb F, Stamp E, Tyrrell R. The foodscape: classification and field validation of secondary data sources. *Health Place*. 2010;16(4):666–673.

89. John Hopkins Center for a Livable Future. OROSW Community Food Assessment Report. 2007. Available at: http://www.jhsph.edu/clf/projects/ CFA. Accessed June 7, 2012.

90. Jeffery RW, French SA, Raether C, Baxter JE. An environmental intervention to increase fruit and salad purchases in a cafeteria. *Prev Med.* 1994;23(6):788–792.

91. Powell LM, Chaloupka FJ. Food prices and obesity: evidence and policy implications for taxes and subsidies. *Milbank Q.* 2009;87(1):229–257.

92. Lee C. Environmental justice: building a unified vision of health and the environment. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2002;110(suppl 2):141–144.

93. Environmental Protection Agency. Environmental justice. Available at: http://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice. Accessed November 15, 2011. Copyright of American Journal of Public Health is the property of American Public Health Association and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.